Abstract

Background and Objectives: Low quality of life in hemodialysis patients is a major factor leading to cardiovascular diseases and other important outcomes such as hospitalization and death. The present study attempted to examine the impacts of training intervention on perceived psychological health and quality of life among hemodialysis patients.

Methods: In this cross-sectional intervention study, 51 hemodialysis patients were examined using census sampling method. The Short Form (SF-36) Health Survey was used to assess psychological quality of life for these patients. For 7 patients with psychological health scores (1SD) lower than the mean score, a supplementary questionnaire (Hamilton rating scale for depression and anxiety) was administered by a psychologist, to confirm existence of and identify the type of a psychological disorder. Then a training course on coping and communicating skills was developed and implemented. The effect of training program on patients’ targeted skills was examined by post-intervention administration of the Hamilton rating scale. T-test was used for comparison of the mean values between the two genders.

Findings: While women gained higher mean scores than men in role physical, role emotional, vitality, mental health, social functioning, and bodily pain, and men scored higher in physical functioning and general health. No significant difference in the dimensions of Quality of Life was found between the two genders, but in bodily pain (P < 0.05). After training, a 20% enhancement in the coping and communicating skills was achieved.

Conclusions: Training of hemodialysis patients can lead to improvement of their coping and communicative skills, thereby enhancing their quality of life. Hence this study recommends systematic inclusion of training-based Quality of Life improvement interventions as an integral part of a comprehensive hemodialysis patient treatment strategy.
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appropriate job, and discontinued employment (partly due to the frequent hemodialysis) [4]. On the other hand, CKD may lead to the patients' dependence on others, which reduce their self-confidence, and induce a sense of loneliness in them, which in turn, negatively influence the socio-psychological aspects of their life [5]. Familial, social, and psychological aspects of hemodialysis patients' life may be also influenced by fatigue, anxiety, and neurohormonal disorders [6].

On the other hand, low QOL among hemodialysis patients is a major factor contributing to the occurrence cardiovascular diseases and other important patient outcomes, including hospitalization and death [1]. In a study on 1,284 CKD patients, Rocco et al. identified a correlation between low QOL and deteriorated renal functions.

Given the chronic and incapacitating nature of kidney failure, the goal in treatment of patients with kidney failure should not only be to save their lives and removing specific clinical symptoms, but also to improve the patients’ QOL [7] To this end, it is required that comprehensive treatment models, tailored to the particular conditions of these patients and capable of addressing their QOL, are developed. Besides the traditional physiological therapies, such treatment models would include psychological health enhancement programs in the treatment procedure.

Given the above-mentioned necessity, similarly, an assessment of the treatment outcome and the health status of CKD patients should encompass evaluation of patients' satisfaction and their own feeling of their well-being, besides evaluation of physiological and clinical indices. Studies show that QOL assessment is helpful in fundamentally addressing the problems experienced by patients and revising treatment methods [1].

Concerning the need for devising and promoting such new treatment models, this study undertook development and evaluation of a training intervention, as a part of a mental health and HRQOL improvement program, aimed at improving the overall healthcare performance in CKD patients in the Iranian Imam Khomeini Hospital.

Methods

Setting and Population

Aiming at improving HRQOL among hemodialysis patients, a health improvement program was developed in the Dialysis Ward of the Iranian Imam Khomeini Hospital. The study population consisted of all patients referred to this hospital for hemodialysis, during 2012-2013. Given the small size of this population, a census-based approach was adopted. Inclusion criteria were age above 18, being capable of completing the questionnaire or being interviewed, being treated with dialysis at least twice per week, and willing to participate in the study. Individuals with acute diseases who required hospitalization were excluded from the study.

Survey Tool

The Short Form (SF-36) Health Survey was used as the measurement tool to assess patients' HRQOL. This survey is a general QOL scale, which has been translated and used in 50 countries, including Iran, to assess the health status as perceived by the patients. It has also been applied in determining healthcare policies and investigating treatment effectiveness [8]. The scale accounts for 36 items related to eight QOL dimensions, including physical functioning, limitations imposed on roles by physical problems (role physical), bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, limitations caused by emotional problems (role emotional), and mental health, with the score for each dimension varying between 0 and 100 [9]. Reliability and validity of the Persian version of SF-36 have already been confirmed in an Iranian context [4].

Development of HRQOL Improvement Program

First, a smart web portal was launched for electronic collection of the questionnaire data [10]. Afterwards, in a meeting with the director of Hemodialysis Ward, the intervention was described and orientation and training sessions for the nurses of this ward were organized. Next, descriptions were provided on how to complete SF-36 questionnaire. After training, the nurses were asked to input the questionnaire data into a computer, calculate patients' mental health scores, and submit the data to the data collection section in the ward via the smart portal.

At the next step, a series of meetings were held with experts in the fields of nephrology and project executive sponsor to develop training packages before reviewing the results of HRQOL survey. In the first two sessions, different types of training media were discussed and some selected intervention models for training human resources from other countries were reviewed. In the third session, the steps required for developing the training package, identifying training needs, and preparing initial training contents were determined. Finally, in the last session, training contents most relevant to hemodialysis patients were identified by drawing on the available literature. In addition, the material for training of the target skills in patients such as coping and communicating skills was exclusively discussed during these sessions.
After HRQOL survey, psychological state of seven patients with low HRQOL scores (scores less than 1 SD below the mean score obtained from HRQOL survey) was examined by a psychologist, by using Hamilton rating scale or performing structured interviews, in order to identify their possible psychological disorders (e.g. depression-anxiety). Once the disorders were confirmed in these patients, psychologists or trained medical practitioners tried to training them on issues such as stress management, coping strategies and communicating skills. Further, separate focused group discussions were held with the patients and nurses in order to identify the additional training needs, and these needs were examined later in a specialized meeting with experts from the fields of psychology, nephrology, health education, and healthcare improvement. The patients were introduced to a training course with a specific curriculum, approved in the above mention meeting.

### Results

#### HRQOL Survey Results

We evaluated HRQOL of 51 CKD patients (68.6% male; 31.4% female) with the mean age of 58.73±15.08 (M±SD), receiving hemodialysis treatments. Table 1 presents mean scores and standard deviations of HRQOL dimensions, separately for both genders. Table 2 describes

---

**Table 1** Mean and standard deviation of QOL scores before training intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eight dimensions of QOL</th>
<th>Mean (SD) (Female Patients)</th>
<th>Mean (SD) (Male Patients)</th>
<th>Mean (SD) (Total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Role physical</td>
<td>24.19 (35.63)</td>
<td>21.15 (37.97)</td>
<td>22.78 (35.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role emotional</td>
<td>58.58 (18.28)</td>
<td>52.92 (23.10)</td>
<td>56.89 (19.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality/energy</td>
<td>38.39 (21.26)</td>
<td>33.85 (22.65)</td>
<td>37.11 (21.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>58.58 (18.28)</td>
<td>52.92 (23.10)</td>
<td>56.89 (19.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>53.45 (26.25)</td>
<td>42.46 (24.60)</td>
<td>49.64 (25.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain</td>
<td>46.61 (29.13)</td>
<td>36.23 (17.88)</td>
<td>43.09 (26.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>31.61 (26.78)</td>
<td>50.77 (32.32)</td>
<td>37.22 (29.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>37.26 (19.44)</td>
<td>38.46 (23.83)</td>
<td>37.67 (20.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical QOL score</td>
<td>23.10 (21.85)</td>
<td>30.47 (23.92)</td>
<td>25.15 (22.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental QOL score</td>
<td>60.45 (24.11)</td>
<td>50.74 (24.24)</td>
<td>57.33 (24.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2** Patients’ scores in Hamilton’s rating test for depression and anxiety before training intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Dialysis period (year)</th>
<th>Depression score</th>
<th>Anxiety score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the results of Hamilton’s rating test, carried out on seven patients with low HRQOL scores, to confirm their psychological disorder.

While women gained higher mean scores than men in role physical, role emotional, vitality, mental health, social functioning, and bodily pain, men scored higher in physical functioning and general health. The difference between the genders, however, was significant only in terms of bodily pain (P < 0.05). While physical HRQOL score of men was higher than that of women, mental HRQOL score for women was greater than this score for men (not significant). In addition, according to our analysis, women had a significantly higher index of adequacy (KTV) as compared with men (P < 0.01). However, no significant difference in mean serum creatinine index was observed between the two genders.

**The Impact of Training**

Of the seven patients with low mental health score, one died and six others were selected to take part in the training sessions. After training, the total number of the correct answers to the Hamilton’s test increased from 40% to 60%. This shows that despite the difficulties in organizing the training sessions for hemodialysis patients, a remarkable improvement in the patients’ coping and communicating skills in these patients and enhancing their quality of life can be a difficult task, if offered insightfully, it would bring fruitful results in improving coping and communicative skills in these patients and enhancing their quality of life. Hence this study recommends systematic inclusion of training-based HRQOL improvement interventions as an integral part of a comprehensive hemodialysis patient treatment strategy. In addition, the results of the present study suggest the fourth stage of CKD (GFR 15-29 ml/min/1.73m²), when the patient is being prepared for alternative treatment (dialysis or kidney transplant).

**Conclusions**

While training of hemodialysis patients aiming at improving their quality of life can be a difficult task, if offered insightfully, it would bring fruitful results in improving coping and communicative skills in these patients and enhancing their quality of life. Hence this study recommends systematic inclusion of training-based HRQOL improvement interventions as an integral part of a comprehensive hemodialysis patient treatment strategy. In addition, the results of the present study suggest the fourth stage of CKD (GFR 15-29 ml/min/1.73m²) as the best stage for introduction of training intervention, when needed.
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